



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,048	06/26/2001	Bhavesh Mistry	S63.2-9764	1791
490	7590 08/26/2003			
	RETT & STEINKRA	EXAMINER		
SUITE 2000	IRCLE DRIVE	THOMPSON, KATHRYN L		
MINNETONK	CA, MN 55343-9185	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763 DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/892,048		MISTRY ET AL	CM			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kathryn L Thompson		3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspond nce address Period f r Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 A	August 2003 .						
2a)□	•	is action is non-final.						
3)								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1.3-5}$ and $\underline{7}$ is/are pending in the app	lication.			·			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	t.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06/26//01</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3-5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant nowhere discloses in the specification that the balloon is made from a radiopaque material. Rather, Applicant discloses that a radiopaque material is sprayed onto the balloon



Art Unit: 3763

(Page 3, Lines 18-23), thus making the balloon material separate from the radiopaque material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischell et al (US 5,792,144). Fischell et al discloses a stent delivery catheter comprising a catheter having a distal end, the catheter including a balloon near its distal end, a stent mounted for delivery onto the balloon, the balloon being made of a material the material being made of at least one radiopaque portion wherein the at least one radiopaque portion comprises one or more radiopaque band portions and wherein the radiopaque band portions are positioned so that they mark the proximal and distal ends of the stent (Column 4, Lines 26-48). Fischell et al also teaches wherein the radiopaque portion is made by including radiopaque metal powder (Column 2, Lines 41-47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3763

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischell et al in view of Cioanta et al (US2002/0082610). Fischell et al discloses all of the claimed limitations except at least one portion to which radiopaque ink has been applied. Cioanta et al teaches at least one portion to which radiopaque ink has been applied (Page 8, [0078]). Cioanta et al discloses that radiopaque ink is just one of the many well known biocompatible markers that are applied to block the transmission of X-ray for better contrast in images (Page 9, [0078]). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Cioanta et al to modify the invention of Fischell et al in order to create a stent delivery catheter in which the radiopaque portion of the balloon is made by applying radiopaque ink.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn L Thompson whose telephone number is 703-305-3286. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM: 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

KLT %

MICHAEL J. HAYES

Page 5